## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA PITTSBURGH DIVISION

George W. Crute III,	2 × 4			
Defendant-Petitic v. United States of Amer Plaintiff-Respond	ORDERED THAT THE WITHIN MOTION IS DENIED.	CO <sub>2</sub>	2010 APR 23 AH 11: 38	

## MOTION FOR BAIL PENDING 28 U.S.C. § 2255

Now comes the Petitioner, George W. Crute III, pro se, and files this motion for Bail Pending 28 U.S.C § 225 pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3143 (b).

## LESS STRINGENT STANDARDS

The Petitioner is a pro se litigant and is entitled to have motion and asserted claims construed liberally, because pro se litigants are held to less stringent standards than attorneys drafting such motions. *U.S. V. Green*, 260 F.3d 78, 83 (2<sup>nd</sup> cir. 2001). See also *Haines V. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519, 521 (1972) ("We hold less stringent standards than formal pleadings drafted by lawyers").

## FACTUAL BACKGROUND

1. On March 25, 2005, a complaint was filed against Petitioner charging him with 1 count of possession with intent to distribute less than 5 grams of crack cocaine